

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

			MMM:rishes-8		
Parates in h		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		2001_0457A	6119	
09/840,832	07/26/2001	Hideki Harada	20002		
513	90 02/05/2003		EXAMINER		
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			CHANG, YEAN HSI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	JN, DO 2000		2835	8	
			DATE MAILED: 02/05/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/		
•	09/840,832	HARADA, HIDEKI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Yean-Hsi Chang	2835			
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address -	-		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximur - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re - Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) Status	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may sommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the matatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Modelly will, by statute, cause the application to become ths after the mailing date of this communication, even	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communic ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.		
1) Responsive to communication (s	s) filed on <u>16 <i>January</i> 2003</u> .				
201⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is non-final.		ita ia		
3) Since this application is in condictors closed in accordance with the p	ition for allowance except for formal nation ractice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (natters, prosecution as to the mer C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	its is		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-28</u> is/are pending in t	the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-28</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to	0.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to b	by the Examiner.	butha Evaminar			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 26 July 2	<u>2001</u> is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)⊠ object	ted to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that an	y objection to the drawing(s) be held in at	discontroved by the Examiner			
11) The proposed drawing correction	n filed on is: a)∐ approved b)L	_ disapproved by the Examinor.			
If approved, corrected drawings a	re required in reply to this Office action.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is object	ed to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120)	(10() (d) (f)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(0) or (1).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None	e of:				
1 Certified copies of the pri	iority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been received	in Application No			
application from the	opies of the priority documents have b International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(action for a list of the certified copies	not received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a cl	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a continuous continu	on language provisional application h	as been received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1	eview (PTO-948) 5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-19 er:	52)		

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "a wireless module and an antenna" and "a pen input device" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following unclear statement: In page
- 4, lines 2-5 of the specification, the "x-axis of the display screen 3" and "the Y-axis of

the display screen 3" are not clearly defined, and are not shown in the drawings either.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/840,832 Page 3

Art Unit: 2835

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 8-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carroll et al. in view of SanGiovanni (US 2002/0102946 A1).

Carroll teaches a portable terminal comprising:

- A display unit (10, fig. 2) including a display screen (85, fig. 2) and a
 protective back case (obvious element of a display unit) (claims 8, 11-12, 1920 and 25-26)
- A forearm mounting unit (20, fig. 1) for mounting said display unit on a forearm of a user (claim 8)
- Wherein said display further including a wireless module (15, fig. 1) and an antenna (not shown, see col. 3, lines 39-41) (claims 13, 21 and 27)

Carroll fails to teach a hinge case including a first rotary mechanism and a second rotary mechanism. However, SanGiovanni teaches a hinge case (106, fig. 3) including: a first rotary mechanism (112, fig. 3) for rotatably coupling a display unit (102, fig. 1) to a supporting unit (104, fig. 1) so as to allow said display unit to rotate about a first axis (along element 112, not numbered, fig. 3), and a second rotary mechanism (114, fig. 3) for rotatably coupling the display unit (104, fig. 3) to the supporting unit (102, fig. 3) so as to allow said display unit to rotate about a second axis (substantially orthogonal to the first axis, not numbered, fig. 3) (claims 8-10, 15-18 and 23-24). It

Art Unit: 2835

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Carroll with the hinge case taught by SanGiovanni such that the display screen could be positioned for a user's convenience.

Carroll also fails to teach the display unit further including a pen input device. However, SanGiovanni teaches also a display unit (102, fig. 1) including a pen input device (116, fig. 1; also see page 2, paragraph [0020]) (claims 14, 22 and 28). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Carroll with the pen input device taught by SanGiovanni such that no attached input means will be needed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Also, claims 1-7 have been canceled.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2835

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yean-Hsi Chang whose telephone number is (703) 306-5798. The examiner can normally be reached on 07:30-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (703) 308-4815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and for After Final communications. There are RightFAX numbers and provide the fax sender with an auto-reply fax verifying receipt by the USPTO: Before-Final (703-872-9318) and After-Final (703-872-9319).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 305-8558.

Art Unit: 2835

Yean-Hsi Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2835 January 29, 2003

> DARREN SCHUBERG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800